

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/717,462	11/21/2003	Raymond G. Vershum	5210 P 006	8073	
7590 05/19/2006			EXAMINER		
PAUL J. NYKAZA, ESQ.			HYLTON, ROBIN ANNETTE		
Wallenstein Wa	agner & Rockey, Ltd.				
53rd Floor			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
311 South Wacker			3727	· -	
Chicago, IL 60606-6630			DATE MAILED: 05/19/2006		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	<i>L</i>
	10/717,462	VERSHUM ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Robin A. Hylton	3727	
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence addre	ess
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailin earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	OATE OF THIS COMMUNICA 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABANI	TION. be timely filed from the mailing date of this common DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on  2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) This  3) Since this application is in condition for alloware closed in accordance with the practice under the practice.	s action is non-final. ince except for formal matters	·	erits is
Disposition of Claims			
4)	wn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examine 11.	cepted or b) objected to by drawing(s) be held in abeyance.	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). is objected to. See 37 CFR	• •
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Appl prity documents have been rec u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ication No ceived in this National Sta	age
Attachment(s)	<b>,,</b> □,,,,,	(770	
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)</li> <li>Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ol>		mary (PTO-413) ail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-15	52)

Application/Control Number: 10/717,462

Art Unit: 3727

## **DETAILED ACTION**

Page 2

## Election/Restrictions

- 1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
  - Claims 1-15,16-23, and 53-56 drawn to a protective wrap, tubing, and system respectively, classified in class 383, subclass 116.
  - Claim 24, drawn to a protective wrap containing an automobile bumper, classified in class 206, subclass 335.
  - III. Claims 25-36,64, and 65, drawn to a method of manufacturing a protective wrap, classified in class 156, subclass 113.
  - IV. Claims 37-52, drawn to an apparatus for converting a tubing of protective material into a protective container, classified in class 83, subclass 614.
  - Claims 57-62, drawn to a system for protecting a product, classified in class 225,
     subclass 10+.
  - VI. Claim 63, drawn to a method for protecting an automobile bumper, classified in class 242, subclass 554.2.
  - VII. Claims 66-68, drawn to a method of overwrapping a product, classified in class 53, subclass 469.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

2. Inventions of Groups I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the car bumper is not required for the protective overwrap. The

subcombination has separate utility such as the overwrap can be used to protect a number of items not limited to the bumper.

- 3. Inventions of Groups III and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the tubing can be formed from two sheets seamed along two opposed edges.
- 4. Inventions of Groups IV and I are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case the product can be made by moving the tubing, not the cutter.
- 5. Inventions of Groups V and I are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case the product can be formed without supporting the supply of tubing by an unwind.
- 6. Inventions of Groups VI and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See

MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the tubing can be used to protect any structure which is to be shipped prior to use.

- 7. Inventions of Groups VII and I are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the tubing can be sealed at one end prior to insertion of the product into the cavity, then the other end sealed.
- 8. Inventions of Groups III and II are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be used to make another and materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different process (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instant case the tubing can be formed from two sheets seamed along two opposed edges.
- 9. Inventions of Groups IV and II are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case the product can be made by moving the tubing, not the cutter.
- 10. Inventions of Groups V and II are related as apparatus and product made. The inventions in this relationship are distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) that the apparatus as claimed is not an obvious apparatus for making the product and the apparatus can be used for making a materially different product or (2) that the product as claimed can be

Application/Control Number: 10/717,462

Art Unit: 3727

made by another and materially different apparatus (MPEP § 806.05(g)). In this case the product can be formed without supporting the supply of tubing by an unwind.

Page 5

- 11. Inventions of Groups II and VI are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the process can be used to protect any item requiring shipment to another location. The length of the cut tubing can be adjusted for the length of the item being inserted into the tubing, and the item supported for insertion into the tubing.
- 12. Inventions of Groups VII and II are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product. See MPEP § 806.05(h). In the instant case the tubing can be sealed at one end prior to insertion of the product into the cavity, then the other end sealed.
- 13. Inventions of Groups III and IV are directed to related processes. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the process of Group VI does not require a lamination process to form the tubing material. The process of Group III does not require a cutter after forming the tubing.

Application/Control Number: 10/717,462

Art Unit: 3727

14. Inventions of Groups III and V are directed to related processes. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the process of Group V requires an unwind supporting a supply of tubing and does not require a lamination process to form the tubing material. The process of Group III does not require a cutter after forming the tubing.

Page 6

- 15. Inventions of Groups III and VI are directed to related processes. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the process of Group VI requires an unwind supporting a supply of tubing. The process of Group III does not require a cutter after forming the tubing.
- 16. Inventions of Groups III and VII are directed to related processes. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, wherein Group VII does not require a laminate tubing or an automobile bumper for the process, the processes have different designs.
- 17. Inventions of Groups IV and V are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the

process of Group V can be practiced by requiring the material to be moved rather than the cutter to move along a rail.

- 18. Inventions of Groups VI and IV are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process of Group VI can be practiced by requiring the material to be moved rather than the cutter to move along a rail.
- 19. Inventions of Groups VII and IV are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process can have one end of the tubing closed prior to insert of the product into the tubing cavity, then sealing the other end after product insertion.
- 20. Inventions of Groups V and VI are directed to related processes. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the process of Group V does not require the cutter to move along a cutting rail. The tubing can be cut by moving the tubing and not the cutter.
- 21. Inventions of Groups V and VII are directed to related processes. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not

capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the process of Group V requires cutting a length of tubing whereas the process of Group VII utilizes a pre-formed tubing for protecting a product.

- 22. Inventions of Groups VI and VII are directed to related processes. The related inventions are distinct if the inventions as claimed do not overlap in scope, i.e., are mutually exclusive; the inventions as claimed are not obvious variants; and the inventions as claimed are either not capable of use together or can have a materially different design, mode of operation, function, or effect. See MPEP § 806.05(j). In the instant case, the process of Group VI requires an unwind, a cutter and supporting surface to manufacture a tubing for protecting a product. The process of Group VII only requires a pre-formed tubing, inserting a product and sealing the open ends of the tubing. The process of Group VI requires more steps to manufacture and use the tubing.
- 23. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art in view of their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 24. Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.
- 25. A telephone call was made to Paul Nykaza on May 1, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

- 26. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 27. In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 3720 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office Actions directly into the Group at (571) 273-8300. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 3720 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.
- 28. It is called to applicant's attention that if a communication is faxed before the reply time has expired, applicant may submit the reply with a "Certificate of Facsimile" which merely asserts that the reply is being faxed on a given date. So faxed, before the period for reply has expired, the reply may be considered timely. A suggested format for a certificate follows:

I hereby certify that this correspondence for Application Serial No. \_\_\_\_\_ is being facsimiled to The U.S. Patent and Trademark Office via fax number 571-273-8300 on the date shown below:

Typed or printed name of person signing this certificate						
Signature						
Date	•					

29. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Robin Hylton whose telephone number is (571) 272-4540. The examiner can normally be reached Monday - Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m. (Eastern time).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nathan Newhouse, can be reached on (571) 272-4544.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Other helpful telephone numbers are listed for applicant's benefit:

- Allowed Files & Publication (888) 786-0101
- Assignment Branch (800) 972-6382
- Certificates of Correction (703) 305-8309
- Fee Questions (571) 272-6400
- Inventor Assistance Center (800) PTO-9199
- Petitions/special Programs (571) 272-3282
- Information Help line 1-800-786-9199
- Internet PTO-Home Page http://www.uspto.gov

RAH May 1, 2006

Primary Examiner GAU 3727